App. F. 1A-4

1931-32

File No. 58-31

Previous References

(May)

R 197-26-(1-7)
185-98-(1-4)
293-27-2

Serial Nos.

Later References

Mysr 18-23-7
R 232-27-2
I-R 15-34C (Part 2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Low reference— 3-43 10-34 15-17, 18 Mysr
3 Mysdep 348-38

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for 17 March 1934

SUBJECT.

Arrangements for the regular worship in Sri Kalleshwara temple - Doddagadda valli Hassan Taluk

Govt no 663-6 dy 17. 11. 1933 directing that a sum of Rs 2286/- be made available for the benefit of the temple reserved etc

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. J 8 of 1931.

Serial No. 1

Letter no 878/30-31 of 25/8/31 from the Mudaliar Commissioner requesting that the sum of Rs 7640/- realised by the sale of certain lands belonging to the temple of Sri Virupakshaswami be ordered to be refunded with interest and also proposing that the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner to sell Survey No 92 and deposit the amount in fixed deposit savings be kindly sanctioned.

2. Forms period managers the arrears of the Sri Virupakshaswami temple, neglected effecting repairs to the building and left over service in the temple and enjoyed the produce of the land belonging to the temple it is said that he may be counted to have unauthorisedly enjoyed the lands during that period. Records received from the M.C. do not indicate if any action has been taken to recover from the said Managers the produce of all those years or the suitable money value thereof —

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 31

M/s 3 File No. 58 of 1981

2) Kind attn is invited to Rajaga - Ex no 1049-54 & 16.1.1925 & the records (Mysuru 18-23-7). A contribution of Rs 965/- has been given from the State towards the repairs of the temple. This was done at a time when there were no funds at the Credit of the temple Account the sum of Rs 30000/- realised from Manjaiya - Now as per Ex no 2085-6/LR197-26-7 dt 22.9.27 the same lands were auctioned & the sum of Rs 7640/- has been realised - S.No 72 of the temple is also now proposed to be sold & is expected to fetch more than Rs 1000/- Before depositing in Savings Bank as proposed by the M.C, it is for appl or other orders whether Rs 965/- also Should be the institution may not be revived, & the best being allowed to be invested in the S.B. This may be done, if appd, in view of the paucity of funds.

This has since been ordered to be refunded to manjaiya Es 1949

Further orders are also solicited in the following proposals of the M.C.

i) Transfer of Rs 7640/- realised from the sale of S.Nos 73+74 belonging to the Institution to the Muzrai Funds of the Temple.

Not Cr. to Acc Received

neral and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 58 of 193 1.

Serial No.

ii) It is also a part of the amount required for effecting further improvements to the temple and defraying the rest on S. N. account of the Institution. In this connection it may be noted that M.C. has stated definitely what amount is actually required for the further improvement of the temple

iii) The sale of S. No. 72 and the realisations in fixed deposit are the receipts on account.

1) One of the petns presented to His Highness in the Hassan Dt. referred to the Secretariat for sympathetic disposal bears on the question of the revision of the scale of the temple and action on this file will facilitate the disposal of the petition.

H. Secy.) 5.9.31

I have no objection to the proposal to sell away S. No. 72 as Sikkan land and to keep in S.B fund the proceeds in the institution. In spite of the rules of the institution to the contrary I think it is possible to accede to the request of the temple authorities. But I doubt if it is possible to accept the other recommendation of the M.C for expending the proceeds of S. No. 73 & 74 sold in 1921 As observed by Mr. Dsouza (vide Exn. C's letter of 18th Apl 1928) these lands were the assessed

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—G P B—50 000—8 7 30

for training service

primarily and as the amounts realised from the sale of the lands have been credited to Govt., besides, no question of refund of the whole amounts can now arise.

All that is now feasible is to allott some funds from out of the grants for construction repairs for the improvement of the temples, schools etc as requested above by the Hon'ble Minister for Revenue and grant the same as loans to the temple, in order that the original position may be restored and the services of the institutions may be properly arranged for from the profits of the new land. This aspect of the question may be re-examined by the Hon'ble Minister. If this proposal is accepted, the question of continuing the land (S.No 72) now proposed to be sold as loans or substituting another in its place may also be considered.

2nd Member

7-9-31

7 Though the lands were ordered to be resumed long ago, yet the Govt, in their orders of 1899 & 1927 were inclined to show some concessions either by returning the same or by granting a part of the sale proceeds for the purpose of repairing temple. The question now is as to what more concessions can be given, as the villagers have shown some earnestness in repairing the temple. The proposal of the M.C. to sell away S.No 72 & keep it in 7 D may be approved. This

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
11 SEP. 1931
No. 760

File No. S' 8' 2514 of 1931.

Serial No.

740/

land does not ever deeply fetch much as Sutta + so it may be more profitable to sell it. It is expected to realise Rs 2000 or Rs 100 a year at 5%. This will not be Suffr + all the items of expr which wd be essential. As suggested by the Fl Secy, the M.C may be requested to examine whether some Govt lands of equal extent may not be given as inam + if so to make definite proposals. He may also be requested to state definitely what amount wd still be reqd for further repairs to the temple. On receipt of this information, the question whether any grant can be made from the sale proceeds of the other two S Nos may be considered. I agree with the Fl Secy that the entire can in no case be refunded the temple

JPM 14/9

8) Draft-k

9) Alternative & Opinion submd for consideration

K-S 16/9 17/9/31. Please put up fair draft

Fair draft submitted. 17/9

H

NOT —Contn Sheet.

TYPING SECTION

21 SEP 1931

WP 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

Letter No 430 of 23.9.1931
from the Muzrai Commissioner Calling
for additional information
in respect of the Sri Virupakshiswara
Temple - Doddaguddavalli Village
Hassan Taluk

Petition of 30.8.1931 from
Patel Nanjegowda and others of
Doddaguddavalli Hassan Taluk
requesting that proper arrangement
may be made for the conduct of worship
in the Sri Virupakshiswara Temple
in the Village -

13 A report as to what best
could be done by the Institution has
already been called for & this
will have to be considered on receipt
of a report from the M.C.

In the meanwhile it is advised
that the petitioners will be forwarded to the
M.C. in continuation of and
Endt to that effect issued
to the petitioners

H. Seey
7/10
9.10.31

Yes
19/10

VS
26.10.31

Issue
VS
10.31

PING SECTION
21 OCT 1931

Serial No.	
4	Letter No 5977 dt 27-10-31 to the Surveyor Commissioner forwarding the patel in original referred to therein Endt no 5980 dt 27-10-1931 to Messrs Patel Nanjegowda and other of Doddajoddavalli - Hunsur Taluk -

nsq:
28/10/31

NOTE—Cover Sheet

WD 02553—GPB—50 000—8 7

Letter No.R.D 99-32 dated from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Subject: Devasthanam affairs of Sri Virupakshesvaraswami temple at Doddagaddavalli village Hassan Taluk.

With reference to your Memo No.378/30-31 dated 28-7-32 on the above subject I have the honor to state that the connected records could not be submitted owing to the non-receipt of the same from the Sub Division Officer, Hassan, after selecting suitable lands in a convenient locality. The estimate for repairs to the temple called for from the Executive Engineer has not also been received. An urgent reminder has also been issued to them to-day also. On receipt of their report a further communication will be sent.

Memo.

31 B/30-3
22/8/32

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Financial Department, for favour of information with reference to his letter No.159/Muz. dated 20th/21st July 1932.

Fif
27[illegible]
No

GENL & FEV. SECTT.
3 - 1932
236

1/22/8

For Muzrai Commissioner.

The Commissioner's Memo No. 378/30-31 dated 28-7-32 on the above subject is returned herewith. The connected papers could not be submitted as they are awaited —

Gl CB
Vm/8. 24/8

24/8

To be returned herewith

Gl
1-8

23/8/32

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be pasted or otherwise stated on this form.

TYPING SECTION
23 DEC 1931

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Reminder No 968 dt 27-12-32

To the Magistrate Communicated
on spinning wheel The report of
The Subject deputed to
Mr. Pope Expected

Asst
29/1

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

H. Dewan's note

containing H. H.'s petitions and representations
containing D.O. letter No C 416 dt 9.5.33 from P.S. to
H. H. as quoted below :—

× × × ×

× ×

It has taken from January and April 1931
to May 1933 to report on the representations
made to His Highness on his tours. His
Highness desires me to say that he particularly
wishes all such representations to be dealt
with as a matter of urgency and
reported on as early as possible.

Minute
Dewan's orders :—

It is necessary to see that such
delays do not occur again

55) A special procedure for keeping
a vigilant watch over the disposal of
representations said to H. H. should be
prescribed, if there is none such already.

This has been dealt with in letter dt 6/11/33 dt 6.3.3-32-4 dt 19/5/33.

If there are any petitions received
during later tours still pending
the officers concerned should be asked
to dispose of them in detail and
submit reports of action taken.

× ×

×

Let me have a report

Book kindly regarding arrears
& pending petitions

Dated. 11. N. K
23/5/33.

The above extract being
file received on 23/5 for taken for necessary

Ans.

Out of the six H. H. Lan petns three have been finally disposed of & only one is pending disposal — viz. fixing the pay of the arrears of Sri Virupakshesvara Swami Temple at Doddgubbavalli Hassan Tk etc. Reports called for in this office letter No 430/Rev 3 58-31-1 dd 23/9/31 the subsequent final reminder bearing No 968 dd 27/12/32 is not yet received

D/o. D.O. reminder to M.C. Hassan requesting the receipt of the report called for from them to give a final disposal of the case is submitted for orders —

G.V. Dpo
23/5

Issued D.O. No 1710 dd 29/5/33 to the
Muzrai Commissioner.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. — of 193

Serial No.

5 Letter No 348/30-31 of 5/6/33 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that suitable lands are not available for being granted as Inam lands to Sri Virupakshesvara temple Hodigeri Hassan Taluk and requesting sanction to the refund of Rs 7640/-, the realisation from the Sale of Inam lands of the temple, in favour of the temple and to treat S. No 68 as Inam to the said distribution.

2) Estimate for effecting repairs to the temple amounts to Rs 366/- A Contribution of Rs 965/- has already been made for repairs from one of Hundegani vide the last portion of the minutes of the second meeting in which repairs. It has already been ordered that the entire sum of Rs 7640/- can in no case be refunded to the temple.

3) Kind attn is invited to the Amildars report of 31/8/32 - He says that there are 4 Nos, 6 of which are dry & the remaining one contains both dry as well as wet lands. These Nos are reported to be uncultivated ones - Further it is stated that they being somewhat far from the village, the villagers do not come forward to cultivate the same -

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13-8-31.

The Amildar further proposes the grant of a pacy of Rs 8/- from the arrack in addition to the Inamband S. No 68 (R.S. No 72) being given as Inam to the Institution & the entertainment of a Kaval Sabbadavan on Rs 4/- p.m. This aspect of the question is based on the assumption of getting back the sum of Rs 7640/-, realisation from the sale of resumed temple lands - It has already been decided that the entire sum cannot be given at any rate —

In this connection it is submitted that it is a matter for consideration whether the available lands referred to in the Amildar's report, if granted, to the temple, would not be cultivated by the villagers in view of their earnestness to see that archana is properly & regularly done in the temple. If these lands together with S. No 68 proposed in the P.G.D together with a sum of Rs 366/- estimated to be the cost of repairs would not suffice to meet the present requirements, in view of the Institution being located in a village — is a matter for consideration —

The Amildar reports that the villagers are not prepared to cultivate the lands as there is no use in growing the grain.

GS
9/6

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 58 of 193 1.

Serial No.

2nd Submitted with reference to Hon 2nd Member's minute in para 7 supra. In this he
Read 10/6/83 Ab) Sale of S. No. 72 was approved,
and it was ordered that the
Dist. may be requested to examine
whether some fit lands of equal extent
may not be given as Inam and if so
to make definite proposals' and that he
Dist. may be asked 'What amt will still
be required for further repairs to the temple?
From the Dist's report it is
seen that 1) the Sale of S. No 72 has
not been effected as fair price could not
be obtained and is uneconomic depen
2) two suitable lands are available
for being granted as Inam & 3) an Estimate
has been received for Rs 366/
for repairs to the temple
the Dist. now requests that S. No 72
(Rep. 65) may be granted as Inam
tenure to the temple to meet Nityakatte
Charges & that the full Amount of Rs 764/-
realised by the Sale of lands which once
belonged to the temple be invested in S.B.
deposit to the credit of the temple, the
interest thereon being utilised for the
expenses of the temple And that he will
examine the estimate for Rs 366/- and
for repairs to the temple &c. orders a
passed on his recommendation.
It is a pity that the attempt to —

File No. of 193 . | General Secretariat

been made to sell S. No. 72 as agreed by the Member. If this is granted as then the necessity to give the annual pay of Rs 8/- p.m. in addition is by no means clear. Such Amount as may be necessary to fetch an income of Rs 10/- p.m. — to meet the pay of the Carekar and other expenses in the temple — may perhaps be agreed to be refunded to the temple together with the Amount required for repairs Viz Rs 366/-.

4/7/33

H Leary)

In view of the facts that Survey no 72 has not been sold as already ordered and that no new applications are reported to be available to be given in exchange for lands already sold, the proceeds which are being credited to L.R., a further portion of the amount of Rs 7640 realized by the sale of other lands will have to be made available. The question with reference that suggests itself would be to refer what would yield a monthly income of Rs 10/- inclusive pay of archaka and other items of temple worship for the cost required for immediate repairs viz Rs 366 and resume S. No. 72 to Govt

A S.D.
5/7/33

(A Appd. — 15/7
(16/7/33
15/7/7

General and Revenue File No. 48 of 1931.

Serial No.	
	30 Draft revised & submitted 31) Draft revised & submitted 31/10/33 32) Put up clean draft please as revised 3/11/33
	33) Issue ord. submitted 7/11 34) For approval 7/11/33 Issued 8.11.33
6	G.O. No. 663-6 dt. 17-11-1933 ordering that the S. No. 72 of Sri Vinjamatheeswaraswamy Temple at Dodguduvaru Harijan Taluk be Cancelled and the land resumed to Government and that a sum of Rs 2286/- out of Rs 7640/- realised from the sale of S. Nos 69 and 70 of the Temple be made available for the use of the Deputy Collector

File N of 193 General and Secretariat

3778/30-31

17

From

S. Hiriannaiya: Esq., I.A., B.L.,
~~SXP.KRISHNAGOPALACHARI, Esq, BA, BL,~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.





To

Rajasevanarayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No.2532/ Muz.189-28-4 dated 22nd May 1929 directing that S.No.72 of Doddagaddavalli village belonging to the local temple of Sri Virupakshesvara Swami may be left in possession of the archak for a period of three years etc., I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter ---- No.Muz.P.R.99-29 dated 29th August 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, stating that the possession of the land referred to above was not given to archak Ranjaiya who is also the village ------ shanbhogue, as he had given up his archaks duties for the past 8 year as the small income from the land amounting to only Rs.24/- a year was quite inadequate for his maintenance and also for meeting the Nityakatle and Petchukatle expenses in the temple. In order to save the temple from decay and to revive worship therein, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that S.No.68 (Rev.S.No.72) may be disposed of by public auction and the amount invested in 5% Savings bank Fixed ----- Deposit and that the sum of Rs.7640/- realised by the sale of S.Nos.69 and 70 in accordance with G.O.No.R.2085-6/L.R.137-26-9 dated 22nd ---- September 1927 and credited to the Land Revenue may be refunded to the temple for being similarly invested.

2. The previous history of the case may be briefly recapitulated here, Inaji wet Nos.251 and 252 corresponding to Resurvey Nos.72,73 & 74 had been originally endowed for the support of the deities & ---- Doddagaddavalli in the Hassan Taluk. At the time of the inam settlements were in disrepair and 6 month's time was allowed

to Pujari to repair them. As the repairs were not accordingly executed, the inams were ordered to be resumed. The order of resumption did not come into operation; archak Ramanna who was also the shanbogue of the village continued in the enjoyment of the inam. This fact having been brought to the notice of Government, it was ordered in G.O.No.1002-3 dated 23rd February 1899 that the inam in question be resumed in pursuance of the Inam Settlement, the question of its restoration being considered only after the devotees thoroughly repaired the intitution. Notwithstanding this second order of resumption the archak (who was also the shanbogue of the village) continued in possession of the lands till the year 1924 in which year the Deputy Commissioner (Mr.A.V.Ramanath ordered that the lands not being inam and the temple not having any title to them, they might be confirmed to the shanbog on payment by him of Rs.3000/-. This order was confirmed in appeal by the Revenu Commissioner. A second appeal having been preferred, Government in their order No.2085-6/L.R.197-26-9 dated 22nd September 1927 directe that for reasons stated therein the sum of Rs.3000/- paid by Manjaiy for obtaining the right to retain the lands be refunded to him and the lands auctioned in the usual course. While communicating the above orders to this office, Government directed that as the temple was stated to be of some architectural interest and the villagers are also keen on keeping it in a state of good repair, a part of the amount realized by the sale of the lands might be used for repairing the temple. Accordingly two S.Nos. Viz.73 and 74, were sold by auction on 13-11-1927 and a sum of Rs.7640-0-0 was realized and credited to Land Revenue Dis. in the Treasury. The other land was neither resumed nor sold but continued to be temple property.

3. Further information having been called for as regards the disposal of S.No.72, the Deputy Commissioner recommended that, as there was no source of income for the proper upkeep and worship of the temple except this plot of land, it might be continued as inam to the institution. After some correspondence on the subject, Government directed that the land might be left in possession of the archak for 3 years and that how this arrangement works during the period might be watched. An opportunity is thus -----

afforded by Government to retain this S.No. as inam to the temple apparently by rendering satisfactory service etc

4. There remains the question of the refund of the sale ------ amount, viz., Rs.7640/-. In Government Order of 23rd February -- 1899 it was ordered that the question of restoring the resumed ---- inams to the temple would be considered after the devotees ------ thoroughly repaired the institution. Government have gone further by directing in their order of 22-9-1927 that a part of the amount realized by the sale of the lands be used for repairing the temple. From the above it has to be inferred that it was not the intention of Government to deprive the temple permanently of its inam endowment of the amount realized by its sale though the institution has no automatic right for the restoration of the ~~xxx~~ Inam as the ~~xxx~~ resumption was on account of disrepaired condition of the temple -- buildings and the like of the institution.

The temple was repaired in 1925 at an estimated cost of Rs.1437-0-0 met partly by contributions from the devotees and ---- partly from a grant from the General Muzrai Fund. Arrangements have now to be made for conducting service in the temple and keeping the temple in good repair. The proceeds from S.No.72 are quite --- insufficient for the purpose. The question of restoring all the original inam land, as contemplated in Government order of 23-3-99 is not possible as the two S.Nos. have been sold. I request therefore that the sum of Rs.7640/- realized by their sale, may be kindly --- ordered to be refunded to the institution. Part of this amount will be utilized for effecting further improvements to the temple and -- balance deposited in the Savings Bank account for the benefit of -- the institution.

I request further that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal to sell S.No.72 and to deposit the amount in Fixed Deposit in Savings ------ Bank account may be kindly approved.

5. The entire file of vernacular papers is enclosed for ref' and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Luzrai Commissioner.

(21

Letter No.Muz.P.R.99-29 dated 29th August 1930 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject.

Re the continuance or otherwise of S.No.72(old S.No.68) of Doddagaddavalli village, Hassan Taluk as Inam to the local temple of Sri Virupakshesswara Swamy.

In forwarding herewith the records of disputed Hassa Sub-Division Officer's Memo No.C1.1153-29 dated 14th August 1930 relating to the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows:-

S.Nos.68,69 and 70 of Doddagaddavalli village, in Hassan Taluk were enfeoffed to the Sri Virupakshesswara temple at the place. They were resumed to Government for failure of service these being Devadaya Inams. Inspite of the ~~order~~ of resumption one Ranjaiah Shanbhogue and Archak of the temple continued to be in possession of S.Nos.69 and 70. As the estimated cost of lands as ascertained to be Rs.3000/-, the Deputy Commissioner directed that the land may be retained in the possession of Ranjaiah the Archak. The villagers having approached Government in the matter, it was ordered in No.R. 2085-6/L.R.197-26-9 dated 22nd September 1927, that the amount tendered by Ranjaiah for obtaining right to retain the lands might be refunded to him and the lands auctioned in the usual course. These two S.Nos. were sold by public auction and a sum of Rs.7640/ was realized in the sale. The above sale realization has been credited to the Treasury under the head Land Revenue which is evidently a mistake.

As regards the continuance or otherwise of the other S.No.68 it has been ordered by Government in No.2532/Muz.189-28-4 dated 22nd May 1929, that the same may be left to the possession of the Archak of the temple for a period of three years and that if the arrangement proves unsatisfactory the question of adopting some other alternative may be considered etc., The Shanbho Ranjaiya referred to in para I above is the Archak of this temple. The Amildar reports that the possession of the land was not given to him, as he has left off worshipping for the past 8 years. The Archak has also given a statement before the Amildar on 22nd July stating that the income to be derived from the abov

is very low i.e. Rs.24/- a year and will not suffice for his maintenance and also for performing Nityakatle and Metchugatle sevas.

The temple is an ancient monument. Not even a claretaker has been appointed to the temple for want of funds and the Mahadwar Door has been eaten away by white-ants. The temple compound has been overgrown with lantana. In order to save this temple from further decay, both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer, recommended that the remaining S.No.68 may be disposed of by public auction, as there is a keen demand for it if given away permanentl and a fair price is likely to be realised thereby. As it is seen from the Amildars report that a sum of Rs.2000/- is likely to be realised by the sale of ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ this S.No. I recommend that land may be kindly ordered to be sold out right. If the amount likely to be realised by the sale of the above S.No. and a sum of Rs.7640 already realized by the sale of the other S.Nos.69 and 70 are kept in the Savings Bank Fixed Deposit or invested in 5% Government Bonds for 1955, necessary arrangements may be made for worship in the temple and a care-taker appointed to look after it.

A refund bill for Rs.7640/- is also sent herewith for sanction.

"C o p y"

Yeeravasuiga Pan

For Puzrai Commissioner.

m

(23)

No 430 / Onebenwsley
Inwr 58-31-Ver Records

22nd Septr.
23rd

The

Financial Department.

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Asstt Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.778/78-31 of 25th August 1931 in the matter of the Sri Virupakheeswaraswami temple, Haddavalli village, Hassan Taluk, I am directed to state that Government approve of your proposal to sell S.No.38 (re-survey No.7 and Kesp) the realisations retaining profit as regards the sale proceeds of S.No.69 (re-survey No.7 viz., of Rs.764 for the benefit of the temple, Government desire to have information as to the estimated cost of improvements to the temple with a view to what grant if any could be made to the temple. Government note that in no case can the whole amount be refunded.

I am also to request you to be good enough to examine whether some Government lands equal in extent to survey No.69 (re-survey No.7) may not be granted to the institution on Inam tenure for upkeep in lieu of the lands sold.

A reply at an early date is requested.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Subrahmanyasastri
for Financial Secretary to Government
CS

F G—1

(2u

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mer

File No. 58-31

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 234

Date of issue 23.9.31

Signed by Mrs V.S

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

No 430/one/Revenue Records

To The Mercy Commr.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 378/30-31 of 25-8-1931 in the matter of ~~refunding to~~ the Sri Virupa Kshesvara Swami temple, Doddagaddavalli village, Hassan Taluk ~~the realisations from the Sale of the 69 and 70 etc~~, I am directed to state that Govt approve of your proposal to sell S.No 68 (Rev.No 72) and ~~deposit~~ realisations in Fixed Deposit

As regards ~~the reserve of Rs~~ the ~~whole~~ repairs of Temple proper of Rs 69 870 in addition to Rs 7640 for the of the temple ~~standing Trees~~

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7 31

Yours obedient Servt

Mr. Assistant Treasurer

Kindly furnish me with information from you as to the Estimated cost of improvements to the temple

with reference to the determination which the Madras Government has arrived at in this case can the Collector be informed of the amount he is expected to collect.

I am also to request you to be so good as to examine whether some Government lands equal in extent to Nos 69 and 70 ~~are available in the District~~ may be granted to the Institution on Inam tenure for its upkeep and if so to submit separate proposals in the matter. I am also to request you to state definitely what amount would be required for effecting further repairs to the temple.

in lieu of the lands sold.

A reply at an early date is requested

17/8

I have &c

17/8

17.9.31 HC Secy to Govt

Copy to (1) Comptr. 431/- 431/2 ✓433 } 8/9 23.9.31
(2) Rev Comm
(3) Survey Supdt.
~~(4) Collr~~

Mez 10-31-13. (26

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No. 763
Enc. 1.

Mysore, 3rd October 1931.



The petition, of which particulars are given below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is forwarded with compliments for favour of disposal. in Continuation of this Office No 1536 of 19.12.30.

To

The Financial Secretary to the Government
H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

By Order,

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

No. 87/31 of 1931-32

Patel Ranje Gowda, Oappa Gowda and other residents of Dodda Gaddavalli, Hassan taluk praying for proper arrangement being made for conduct of worship in the temples of the Village.

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSO

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

597

Mug 5-8-31

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 27-10-31

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 349 dated 30-8-31 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Messrs Nanjegowda Fellers of Doddagadavalli Hassan TK requesting that arrangements be made for the Car used of Worship in the Sri Virupakshesvara Swamis temple at the place

is forwarded, ~~together~~ with its enclosures to the M.C. in Continuation of this Office letter No 4-30-8-23-9-31 ~~for~~

By Order,

27.10.31

Secretary.

Accepted

BANGALORE,
26.10.1931.

[P. T. O.

27/10

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8 8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮುಕ್ತಿಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

(24)

GPB1725—GPB—8000—26-4-28

ನಂಬರು..... ೨೪೨

ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಯುವ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ

....ರ ಆಫೀಸು.

ತಾರೀಖು 27/10 1931

58-31

ಇಂಡೆಂಟ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

.....ಕಂಪನಿ ನಂ.11 ಡಿಲಕ್ಸ್ ಸಿಲಸ್ತದಲ್ಲಿ
(1) ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಪಿ.ಬಿ.ಲಿ ನಂ.ಜಿ ಸಿಪಾಯಿ ಸಿಲುವಂಕಿ
ಶ್ರೀಮಂತರು ಹಾಂಜಾಸೊಹಬ್ಬದ ದ್ವಾರವರಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಾಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ

ಸಂದರ್ಭ :— ನಿಮ್ಮ.... 30/8/31 ನೆಯ ಮತ್ತು ಂ ~~ತಾಗೀತು~~ / ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ:—

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ... .. 2. ... .ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ರುಜು... .... 15 ದಿನಾಂಕ 10.31

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು ~~ಸಾ~~ Sd Illegible

---

*

1. ~~ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ಪೆರಬೇರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ~~... ....
2. ನಿಮ್ಮ ~~ಪಾದಪದ್ಮವನ್ನು~~ / ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು .....ಗೆ
....ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.
3. ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನ... ... ... ನೆಯ ಮತ್ತು ಂ... ... ...,.....ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೊರಿದೆ.
4. ..... , ...ನೆಯ ಮತ್ತು ಂ..... . . .. ನೆಯ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅದರ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.
5. ಮಲಘೂಟಿಗಳು ತಲುಪಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
6. ಅರ್ಜಿಯು—
   (i) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
   ಅದವಾ (ii) ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
   ಅಥವಾ (iii) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
   ಅಥವಾ (iv) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಅಥವಾ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಒಂದು ನಕಲಿನ ಸಮೇತ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ, ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ, ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.
7. ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು , ...ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.
8. (a) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬರೆವಣಿಗೆಯು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ,
   (b) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ,
   (c) ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

* ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು,

No. 378
30-31.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 11th April 1931.
16

GENL. & REV. SECTT. 1198. 18 APR 1931 MUZRAI 2

29

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
.....
Hassan District.
.....

....

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.C. .R.29-30 dated 30th March 1932 re revival of worship etc in the Sri Virupakshaeswaraswami temple at Doddagaddavalli, Hassan Taluk. I have the honor to state that the reply now received from you is incomplete inasmuch as no information has been furnished on that portion of the Financial Secretary's letter which relates to the grant of Government lands equal in extent to S.Nos. 69 & 70 to the institution on inam tenure for its upkeep and to request that lands in a convenient locality may be provisionally selected and details furnished together with your opinion in the matter.

As Government desire to have information as to the estimated cost of improvements to the temple with a view to determine what portion of the amount realized by the sale of S.Nos.69 & 70 should be made over, I request that the estimate for the works proposed to the temple may be got from the Executive Engineer and sent up early.

The enclosures are retu

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Illegible),
for Muzrai Commissioner.

378 Encl
30-31

Copy of the above together with a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's letter is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, for information, with reference to his letter No.430/ uz.30-31-2 dated 23rd June 1931 and subsequent reminders.

(Illegible)

1198

Submitted for perusal.
Further Communication from the Secretary
~~Report called for may be furnished~~ Lee

22/4



25/4/32

D.O. reminder sent for orders —

19/7/32

D.O. reminder No 1598 21-7-32 to the Mukhtar Communications —

Letter No.P.R.99-30 dated 30th March 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. (31)

Subject:- Revival of worship in the Sri Virupakshesswara and Lakshmi temple at Doddagadvalli, Hassan Taluk.

With reference to your memos No.378/30-31 dated 6th October 1931 and 30th January 1931 on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows:-

The villagers who were consulted in the matter, have stated in their Mahazar dated 12th December 1931 that the temple building has not been repaired for the past 2 years, that the four corners of the temple are leaky and could be repaired by spending Rs.50/- for cement pointing: that there are no suitable lands for being granted in lieu of S.No.68 and 70 sold; that the remaining S.No.68 standing in the name of the temple is yielding an income of 1½ khanus of paddy, the cost of which is being credited to the funds of the temple after defraying the expenses on account of Kandayam; that this S.No. may not be sold outright as it will be hard to meet the expenses of Naneivedya that they are put to much inconvenience owing to the stoppage of worship and that arrangements may be made to invest the sale realisations of Rs.7640/- in order to defray the expenses on account of Archek's pay and for the appointment of a caretaker etc.,

The Amildar has reported that there is no amount available at the credit of the institution.

The Sub Divison Officer is of opinion that this temple being an ancient monument deserving of preservation an estimate for repairs may be got prepared by the P.W.D. He also recommends that S.No.68 (Re.S. No.72) may not be sold now as this piece of land may not fetch a fair value on account of prevailing economic depression and that the proposal of the villagers to invest the sale proceeds of Rs.7640/- and to meet the cost of repairs etc., from the interest to be realised therefrom may be accepted etc.,

In the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to kindly order for the refunding of the entire sale realisations of Rs.7640 as already opined by Mr. Abdul Majid, which has been wrongly credited to Land Revenue by mistake in the Taluk Office and which really belongs to the temple. I am also of opinion that it is not expedient just

32)

With regard to the repairs to be executed to this temple buil I have addr s ed the Executive Engineer, Hassan Division to send up an estimate of the probable cost for the same. On receipt of a reply from him, a further communication will be sent.

The connected, vernacular records bearing on the subject, received with the Hassan Sub Division Officer's No.C3-1153-29 dated 25th February 1932 accompany.

"C o p y"

For Huzrai Commissioner.

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Inm 3

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No.

To

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 21-7-32

Dear Sir, Subject:- Re affairs of Sri Virupaksheswara Swamy temple - Doddagaddavalli Hassan Tk.

Signed by .....

Dear With reference to your memo no 378/30-31 dt 16/4/32 forwarding Copy of letter dt the same date to the D.C. Hassan on the above subject, I am directed to request you to be good enough as to submit the final report at a very early date, in the matter. ~~to facilitate the disposal of this long standing matter~~

List of enclosures ....

Copied by ....

Examined by ....

Yours faithfully,

17/7/32

To Sri [illegible]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Misc 3

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 968

To

The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 27/12/32

Signed by K. Pandurangam Rao

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 378/30-31 dt. 22/8/32 forwarding copy of letter dt. 3/8/32 from the D.C. Hanamkonda, I am directed to request you to let me have a report in the matter of the affairs of Sri Virupakshaswamy Swamy temple at Doddagudavalli Village, Hasan Parthy, may be expedited here. The matter is pending at a very early date as it is reported

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

(35

D. O. No. 1710

Mug 58-31

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 29th May 1933.

Subject:- Re. the grant of lands etc., to Sri Virupaksheswaraswami temple, Loddaguddu-velli, Hassan Taluk.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No.430/Muz.58-31 dated 23rd September 1931, your Memo No.378/30-31 dated 22nd August 1932, and subsequent reminder No. 960 dated 27th December 1932, on the above subject and to request you to kindly submit a final report in the matter within a week especially in view of the instructions conveyed in Official Memorandum No.R.6115-74/L.R.403-32-2 dated 10th May 1933, regarding the disposal of petitions presented to His Highness the Maharaja during his tours.

P.T.O.

tours.

Yours sincerely,

For H Secy

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Mazrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalor

2/29-5.

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Immediate

Section Mug.

File No. 58-31

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 1710

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Subject:- Re: Grant of lands Etc to Sri Virupakshesvara Swami temple - Dodda Gaddavalli Hassan Tk.

Date of issue 29.4.33

Signed by Muddukrishnaiya

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this office letter No 430/Mug 58-31 dt 23/9/31 (No-968 dt 27-12-32) and subsequent reminders, on the above subject (~~Circular last reminder No 968 dt 27/12/32~~).

Your memo No. 378/30 M dt 22-8-32

and to request you to kindly submit a final report in the matter within a week especially as the case ~~has been pending~~ under the Category of ~~Temples~~.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

~~Low fees for the prompt disposal~~
~~of cases in which orders have been~~
the matter concerned
~~passed~~ in Crl. No R 6115-74/CR
403-32-2 & 18/5/33, regarding the disposal of
~~cases you are perhaps in receipt~~ petitions pending
~~of by this time. I am further~~ with the Madras
~~to note that the case is one~~ High Court.
~~in which does not brook any~~
~~further delay~~

Yours sincerely,

27/5

GS
26/5.

(39)

M. C. 13]

No. 378/30-31

Five records.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore 1st June ... 193 3.

MUZ 58-31-5



1/6/33

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.430/Muz.58-31-2 dated 23rd September '31 and in continuation of this office Memo No.378/30-31 dated 16th April '32 in the matter of Sri Virupakshesvaraswami temple, Doddagadduvalli village, Hassan Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.1150-28 dated 29th March '3 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, stating that suitable lands are not available in the locality for being granted on inam tenure to the temple. In the circumstances, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that S.No.68 may be granted on inam tenure to the temple to meet the Nityakatle charges and urges once again the refund of the full amount of Rs 7640/- realised by the sale of the lands which once belonged to the temple for being invested in S.B. Deposits and utilising the interest for the Establishment and other expenses of the temple, as it is a temple of architectural importance.

2. Agreeing with the Deputy Commissioner, I request that sanction of Government may be accorded to the refund of the amounts and to treat S.No.68 as inam to the temple.

3. On receipt of orders the estimate for Rs 366/- for repairing the temple will be scrutinised in this office and necessary sanction accorded.

The connected vernacular ~~paper~~ files are herewith submitted for favour of reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

9sb

Letter No.1150-28 dated 29-3-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Revival of worship in the Sri Virupaksheswara and Lakshmi temple at Doddagadavalli, Hassan Taluk, and repairs to the temple building.

---

(1) With reference to your letter No.378/30-31 dated 16-1-32 on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows.-

(2) The Amildar has held personal enquiries in the matter of granting Government lands equal in extent to S.Nos.69 and 70 to the institution on inam tenure for its up-keep. It has been reported that though there are S.Nos. which are classed as assessed waste in the village 6 S.Nos. are fit for dry cultivation only and one S.No. is fit for wet and dry cultivation and that these lands having been situated far off from the village and that there are none to cultivate the same. The villagers on enquiry having stated that they are not willing to part with the lands under occupancy, the Amildar has stated that it is not even possible to arrange for the purchase of lands from the occupants also etc.

3) The Amildar is of opinion that S.No.60 (Re-S.No.72) wet, measuring 2 acres 13 guntas and assessed at Rs11/- standing in the name of the temple may be treated as inam to the temple since the annual produce could be utilised for daily Nivedana. As regards defraying expenses towards the pay of Rs8/- per mensem to the archak and at Rs7/- per mensem to the caretaker the Amildar suggests that a sum of Rs7640/- realised at the sale of the two inam lands and credited to Government may kindly be ordered to be refunded in order to invest the same in Government securities, as already recommended by Messrs. S. Abdul Wajid and G. P. Hebbikar, Deputy Commissioners, in their letters No.E.R.99-30 dated 30-8-30 and 30-3-32.

4) The Sub Division Officer is also agreeable to the above proposals of Amildar.

In these circumstances, and in view of the fact that suitable land not available in the locality for being granted as inam to the temple in lieu of those sold, I request you to be so good as to order for the refunding the entire sale realisations of Rs7640/- which is reported to have been credited to Land Revenue by Taluk authorities and which amount really belongs to the temple and also to treat the Sirkar land S.No.60 (Re-S.No.72) standing in the name of the deity as inam of the temple.

6) The estimate for Rs366/- for effecting repairs to the temple building

and the connected vernacular paper files received with
Executive Engineer's letter No.438 dated 16-3-36 are sent

'COPY"

For Mukhtar Commissioner.

(43)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

R e a d:-

G.O. No.R.2085-6/L.R.197-26-9, dated 22nd September 1927 directing that S. Nos. 69 and 70 endowed to Sri Virupakshesvaraswami temple of Doddaguddavalli village, Hassan Taluk, may be auctioned in the usual course.

Endorsement No.R.5870/L.R. 332-27-2, dated 2nd February 1928 rejecting the review petition of Manjiah of Dodguddavalli, Hassan Taluk, praying for a reconsideration of the direction conveyed in the order read above.

3. G.O. No.1049-51/Muz. 18-23-7 dated 16th January 1925 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.1437 for the repairs of Sri Virupakshes-weraswami temple at Dodguddavalli, Hassan Taluk, the cost being met as detailed therein.

4. G.O. No.1853-5/Muz. 293-27-2, dated 17th April 1928 directing that Rs. 246-1-0 due to the Public Works Department in connection with the repairs referred to in the order read above be met from the allotment of Rs.10,000 of the General Muzrai Fund made for the then official year, as the villagers of Dodguddavalli were reported to have refused to pay their contribution for repairs until the resumed Devadaya Inam lands were restored to the temple of Sri Virupakshesvara swami in the village

5. Orders of Government conveyed in letter No.2582/Muz. 189-28-4, dated 22nd May 1929 to the Muzrai Commissioner according approval to S. No.72 (Old S.No. 68) of Doddaguddavalli village being left in the possession of the archak for a period of 3 years as a tentative measure.

TYPING SECTION
9. NOV. 193
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Letter No.378/30-31, dated 25th August 1931 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating that SxxNaxXX as directed in letter dated 12th May 1929 read above S. No.72 could not be left in The possession of ~~the~~ archak Manjaiya as he has ceased to do the duties of archak for the past 8 years and recommending that in order to save the temple from decay and to revive worship therein the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District to dispose of the S. No.72 in public auction and to invest the realisation in Savings Bank ~~Fund~~ Fixed Deposit may be approved and That the sum of Rs.7640/- realised by the sale of S. Nos. 69 and 70 may be ordered to be refunded to the institution and adding that part of this amount will be utilised for effecting improvements to the temple and the balance deposited in Savings Bank account for the benefit of the institution.

7 Orders of Government conveyed in letter No.430/Muz. 58-31-2 dated 25th September 1931 approving of the proposal of the Muzrai Commissioner contained in letter dated 25th August 1931 read above to sell S. No.68 (Re. S. No.72) and keep the realisations in Fixed Deposit, adding that as regards the refund of the sale proceeds of S. Nos.69 and 70, viz., Rs 7640 for the benefit of the temple, the whole amount could in no case be refunded calling for information as to the estimated cost of repairs to the temple with a view to determine what grant if any could be made to the temple and enquiring whether some Government lands equal in extent to S. Nos. 69 and 70 may not be granted to the institution on inam tenure for its up-keep in lieu of the lands sold.

(105

8) Letter No.378 of 5/6/33 from the Muzrai Commissioner stating in reply to this office letter dated 25th September 1931 read above ~~stating~~ that suitable lands are reported to be not available in the locality for being granted on Inam tenure to the temple and requesting sanction to the refund of the sum of Rs.7640 being the sale proceeds ~~for~~ of S. Nos. 69 and 70 in favour of the Institution and to treat S. No.68 as Inam to the temple, as the Deputy Commissioner considers that its proceeds can be utilised for daily nivedana in the institution, arrangements having since been made for conducting service in the temple and keeping it in good repair, and adding that on receipt of orders the estimate for Rs.366/- for repairing the temple would be ~~sanctioned~~ scrutinised and necessary sanction accorded.

Order No. 665-6/Misc 32-33-6

Dated, Bangalore the 17/11/1933.

On a careful examination of the case Government are pleased to direct that the inam tenure of Re. S. No.72 (Old S. No.68) of the Sri Virupakshesvara temple at Doddaguddavalli be cancelled and the land resumed to Government.

Further they direct that a sum of Rs.2286 out of Rs.7640/- realised from the sale of S. Nos.69 and 70 of the temple be made available for the benefit of the temple of which Rs.1970 may be invested in 6¼ per cent loan bonds so as to fetch a sum of Rs.10/- per mensem to cover the pay of the archak and to meet the ~~Audibark~~ Paditara charges of the institution and the balance of Rs.366/-

utilised for urgent repairs of the ins-
-titution as proposed by the ~~Revenue~~ Muzrai
Commissioner.

8.4.33

7/4/33 Financial Secretary to Govt

To

The Muzrai Commissioner with records.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Supt. Rev. Survey & Settlement.
Copy to Rev. Branch.

Aga/1